
STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Student *

Srashti jain



E-mail Address *
shrastijain54@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given *

Home Science

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Employability *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Entrepreneurship *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Skill development *		
0	Excellent	
•	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Weightage given to Project *

Excellent

Good

Average

Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component *		
\bigcirc	Excellent	
0	Good	
•	Average	
0	Below Average	

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject *	
Excellent	
GoodAverage	
Below Average	

Difficulty level of course content *		
0	Excellent	
0	Good	
•	Average	
0	Below Average	

Fulfilment of Learning objectives * Excellent Good

- Average
- Below Average

Level of Course Outcomes * Excellent Good Average

Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, * analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)		
0	Excellent	
•	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material *		
Excellent		
Good		
Average		
O Below Average		

Creation of interest to pursue higher education *		
0	Excellent	
0	Good	
	Average	
0	Below Average	

Overall rating *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
O Below Average	
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development	
No	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.


Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Student *

ANYA SHRIVASTAVA



E-mail Address *

Anyashrivastava3185@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given *

Bio-Science

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Employability *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Wei	ghtage given to Entrepreneurship *
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Wei	ghtage given to Skill development *
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Project * Excellent Good Average Below Average

Wei	ghtage given to Practical and field work component *
\bigcirc	Excellent
0	Good
•	Average
0	Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject *
Excellent
Good
Average
O Below Average

Diffi	culty level of course content *
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Fulfilment of Learning objectives * Excellent Good

- Average
- Below Average

Level of Course Outcomes * Excellent Good Average

Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, * analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
O Below Average	

Creation of interest to pursue higher education *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
Delow Average	

Overall rating *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
Below Average	
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development	
Its good	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.


Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Student *

Sanskriti patwa



E-mail Address *

sanskrtipatwa2001@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given *

Home Science

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Employability *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
Delow Average	

Weightage given to Entrepreneurship *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Skill development *	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Project *

Excellent

Good

Average

Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component *	
	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
Below Average	

Diffi	culty level of course content *
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Fulfilment of Learning objectives *

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Level of Course Outcomes * Excellent Good Average Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, * analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
O Below Average	

Creation of interest to pursue higher education *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Overall rating *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
O Below Average	
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.


Google Forms

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Student *

Soumya Chakravarti



E-mail Address *

chakravartisoumya07@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given *

Maths-Science



Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. *	
0	Excellent
0	Good
•	Average
0	Below Average

Wei	ghtage given to Employability *
0	Excellent
0	Good
	Average
	Below Average

Weightage given to Entrepreneurship *	
0	Excellent
0	Good
•	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Skill development *

Excellent

Good

Average

Below Average

Weightage given to Project *

Excellent

Good

Average

Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject *	
0	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
•	Below Average

Difficulty level of course content *		
0	Excellent	
0	Good	
•	Average	
0	Below Average	

Fulfili	Iment of Learning obje	ctives *
(E	Excellent	

- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Level of Course Outcomes * Excellent Good

- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, * analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
Below Average	

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material *		
\bigcirc	Excellent	
\bigcirc	Good	
\bigcirc	Average	
•	Below Average	

Creation of interest to pursue higher education *	
0	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
•	Below Average

Overall rating *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
Below Average	
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Student Satisfaction Survey 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey. *

- Yes
- O No

Age *

20

College Name *

Govt. M. H. College of H. Sc. And Sc. For women

What degree program are you pursuing now? *	
0	Bachelor's
	Master's
0	PG Diploma
0	Certificate Course
0	Research Work

What subject area are you currently pursuing? *ScienceHome ScienceOthers

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding teaching learning process.

Instructions to fill the questionnaire

- All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
- Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
- The response to the qualitative question no. 21 is student's opportunity to give suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the institute here. (Kindly restrict your response to teaching learning process only)

How much of the syllabus is covered in the class? *

- **85** to 100%
- 70 to 84%
- 55 to 69%
- 30 to 54%
- Below 30%

How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? *	
0	Thoroughly
	Satisfactorily
0	Poorly
0	Indifferently
0	Won't teach at all

How well were the teachers able to communicate? *	
•	Always effective
0	Sometimes effective
0	Just satisfactorily
0	Generally ineffective
0	Very poor communication

The	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as *	
•	Excellent	
0	Very good	
0	Good	
\bigcirc	Fair	
\bigcirc	Poor	

Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. *	
•	Always fair
0	Usually fair
0	Sometimes unfair
0	Usually unfair
0	Unfair

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? *	
•	Every time
0	Usually
0	Occasionally/Sometimes
0	Rarely
0	Never

Sometimes

Rarely

Never

Marginally

Not At All

The	institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. *
0	Strongly disagree
0	Disagree
0	Neutral
	Agree
0	Strongly agree

Rarely

Never

You	Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. *	
•	Every time	
0	Usually	
0	Occasionally/Sometimes	
0	Rarely	
0	Never	

The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. *	
•	Every time
0	Usually
0	Occasionally/Sometimes
0	Rarely
0	Never

	The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of *challenges.	
•	Fully	
0	Reasonably	
0	Partially	
0	Slightly	
0	Unable to	

Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. *	
O	Every time
0	Usually
0	Occasionally/Sometimes
0	Rarely
0	Never

- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly agree

The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

- To a great extent
- Moderate
- Some what
- Very Little
- Not at all

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. *	
•	Strongly agree
0	Agree
0	Neutral
0	Disagree
0	Strongly Disagree

Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

- To a great extent
- Moderate
- Some what
- Very Little
- Not At All

What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. *while teaching.

- Above 90%
- **○** 70 − 89%
- 50 69%
- 30 49%
- Below 29%

The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. *
Strongly disagree
Disagree
Neutral
Agree
Strongly agree
Give three observation / suggestions to improve the overall teaching – learning * experience in your institution.
Nothing as much

Google Forms

Student Satisfaction Survey 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey. *

- Yes
- O No

Age *
20

College Name *

Govt.mh college jabalpur

What degree program are you pursuing now? *	
•	Bachelor's
0	Master's
0	PG Diploma
0	Certificate Course
0	Research Work

What subject area are you currently pursuing? *ScienceHome ScienceOthers

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding teaching learning process.

Instructions to fill the questionnaire

- All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
- Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
- The response to the qualitative question no. 21 is student's opportunity to give suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the institute here. (Kindly restrict your response to teaching learning process only)

How much of the syllabus is covered in the class? *

- **85** to 100%
- 70 to 84%
- 55 to 69%
- 30 to 54%
- Below 30%

How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? *	
•	Thoroughly
0	Satisfactorily
0	Poorly
0	Indifferently
0	Won't teach at all

How well were the teachers able to communicate? *	
•	Always effective
0	Sometimes effective
0	Just satisfactorily
0	Generally ineffective
0	Very poor communication

The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as *	
•	Excellent
0	Very good
0	Good
\bigcirc	Fair
\bigcirc	Poor

Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. *	
•	Always fair
0	Usually fair
0	Sometimes unfair
0	Usually unfair
0	Unfair

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? *	
•	Every time
0	Usually
0	Occasionally/Sometimes
0	Rarely
0	Never

Marginally

Not At All

The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. *	
0	Strongly disagree
0	Disagree
0	Neutral
	Agree
0	Strongly agree

Rarely

Never

Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. *	
•	Every time
0	Usually
0	Occasionally/Sometimes
0	Rarely
0	Never

The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. *	
•	Every time
0	Usually
0	Occasionally/Sometimes
0	Rarely
0	Never

The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of * challenges.		
•	Fully	
0	Reasonably	
0	Partially	
0	Slightly	
0	Unable to	

Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. *		
0	Every time	
	Usually	
0	Occasionally/Sometimes	
0	Rarely	
0	Never	

- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly agree

The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

- To a great extent
- Moderate
- Some what
- Very Little
- Not at all

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. *	
•	Strongly agree
0	Agree
0	Neutral
0	Disagree
0	Strongly Disagree

Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

- To a great extent
- Moderate
- Some what
- Very Little
- Not At All

What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. *while teaching.

- Above 90%
- 70 89%
- **6** 50 69%
- 30 49%
- Below 29%

The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. *	
Strongly disagree	
Disagree	
Neutral	
Agree	
Strongly agree	
Give three observation / suggestions to improve the overall teaching – learning * experience in your institution.	
Promote soft skills And career councelling also	

Google Forms

Student Satisfaction Survey 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey. *

- Yes
- O No

Age *		
20		

College Name *

Govt. Gmh college of home science

What degree program are you pursuing now? *	
•	Bachelor's
0	Master's
0	PG Diploma
0	Certificate Course
0	Research Work

What subject area are you currently pursuing? *ScienceHome ScienceOthers

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding teaching learning process.

Instructions to fill the questionnaire

- All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
- Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
- The response to the qualitative question no. 21 is student's opportunity to give suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the institute here. (Kindly restrict your response to teaching learning process only)

How much of the syllabus is covered in the class? *

85 to 100%

70 to 84%

55 to 69%

30 to 54%

Below 30%

How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? *	
•	Thoroughly
0	Satisfactorily
0	Poorly
0	Indifferently
0	Won't teach at all

How well were the teachers able to communicate? *	
0	Always effective
0	Sometimes effective
	Just satisfactorily
0	Generally ineffective
0	Very poor communication

The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as *		
•	Excellent	
0	Very good	
0	Good	
\bigcirc	Fair	
\bigcirc	Poor	

Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. *	
0	Always fair
	Usually fair
0	Sometimes unfair
0	Usually unfair
0	Unfair

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? *	
0	Every time
0	Usually
•	Occasionally/Sometimes
0	Rarely
0	Never

Sometimes

Rarely

Never

Moderately

Marginally

Not At All

The	institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. *
0	Strongly disagree
0	Disagree
0	Neutral
	Agree
0	Strongly agree

Rarely

Never

Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. *	
•	Every time
0	Usually
0	Occasionally/Sometimes
0	Rarely
0	Never

The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. *	
•	Every time
0	Usually
0	Occasionally/Sometimes
0	Rarely
0	Never

The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of *challenges.		
•	Fully	
0	Reasonably	
0	Partially	
0	Slightly	
0	Unable to	

Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. *	
0	Every time
0	Usually
0	Occasionally/Sometimes
•	Rarely
0	Never

- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly agree

The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

- To a great extent
- Moderate
- Some what
- Very Little
- Not at all

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. *	
0	Strongly agree
0	Agree
	Neutral
0	Disagree
0	Strongly Disagree

Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

- To a great extent
- Moderate
- Some what
- Very Little
- Not At All

What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. *while teaching.

- Above 90%
- 70 89%
- 50 69%
- 30 49%
- Below 29%

The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. *	
Strongly disagree	
Disagree	
Neutral	
Agree	
Strongly agree	
Give three observation / suggestions to improve the overall teaching – learning * experience in your institution.	
Over all good	

Google Forms

Student Satisfaction Survey 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey. *

- Yes
- O No

Age *
20
College Name *

Govt. MH college

What degree program are you pursuing now? *	
•	Bachelor's
0	Master's
0	PG Diploma
0	Certificate Course
0	Research Work

What subject area are you currently pursuing? *ScienceHome ScienceOthers

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding teaching learning process.

Instructions to fill the questionnaire

- All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
- Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
- The response to the qualitative question no. 21 is student's opportunity to give suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the institute here. (Kindly restrict your response to teaching learning process only)

How much of the syllabus is covered in the class? *

- **85** to 100%
- 70 to 84%
- 55 to 69%
- 30 to 54%
- Below 30%

How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? *		
0	Thoroughly	
	Satisfactorily	
0	Poorly	
0	Indifferently	
0	Won't teach at all	

How well were the teachers able to communicate? *		
	Always effective Sometimes effective	
•	Just satisfactorily	
0	Generally ineffective	
\bigcirc	Very poor communication	

The	teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as *
0	Excellent
0	Very good
()	Good
\bigcirc	Fair
\bigcirc	Poor

Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. *	
0	Always fair
	Usually fair
0	Sometimes unfair
0	Usually unfair
0	Unfair

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? *		
0	Every time	
	Usually	
0	Occasionally/Sometimes	
0	Rarely	
0	Never	

Marginally

Not At All

The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. *		
0	Strongly disagree	
0	Disagree	
	Neutral	
0	Agree	
0	Strongly agree	

Rarely

Never

Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. *	
0	Every time
•	Usually
0	Occasionally/Sometimes
0	Rarely
0	Never

The	The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. *		
0	Every time		
	Usually		
0	Occasionally/Sometimes		
0	Rarely		
0	Never		

	The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of *challenges.	
0	Fully	
0	Reasonably	
	Partially	
0	Slightly	
0	Unable to	

Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. *		
0	Every time	
0	Usually	
0	Occasionally/Sometimes	
0	Rarely	
•	Never	

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

- To a great extent
- Moderate
- Some what
- Very Little
- Not at all

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. *	
0	Strongly agree
	Agree
0	Neutral
0	Disagree
0	Strongly Disagree

Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

- To a great extent
- Moderate
- Some what
- Very Little
- Not At All

- Above 90%
- 70 89%
- 50 69%
- 30 49%
- Below 29%

The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. *	
Strongly disagree	
Disagree	
Neutral	
Agree	
Strongly agree	
Give three observation / suggestions to improve the overall teaching – learning * experience in your institution.	
Needs improvement	

Google Forms

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Teacher *

Nalini mishra

Designation * **Assistant Professor** Department * Chemistry Mobile Number * 9399992481

E-mail Address *
vandanapandey9005@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given *

Bio-Science

Following are questions for online teacher satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. *	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Employability *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
Delow Average	

Weightage given to Entrepreneurship *	
•	Excellent
\bigcirc	Good
\bigcirc	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Skill development *	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Project *	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component *	
	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Depth of the course content *	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
Below Average	

Difficulty level of course content *	
O	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Optimization of course content *		
•	Excellent	
0	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus *	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Relevance of Course Outcomes *	

Excellent

Good

Average

Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, * analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material *	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Overall rating *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
O Below Average	
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Teacher *

Dr. Archana Gupta

Designation * Professor Department * Human Development (Home Sc.) Mobile Number * 09425188836

E-mail Address *
archanagupta995@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given *

Home Science

Following are questions for online teacher satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. *		
0	Excellent	
	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Weightage given to Employability *		
0	Excellent	
	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Weightage given to Entrepreneurship *		
0	Excellent	
	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Weightage given to Skill development *		
0	Excellent	
•	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Weightage given to Project * Excellent Good Average Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component *		
0	Excellent	
•	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Depth of the course content *		
0	Excellent	
•	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
O Below Average	

Difficulty level of course content *	
0	Excellent
0	Good
•	Average
0	Below Average

Opt	imization of course content *
0	Excellent
0	Good
•	Average
0	Below Average

- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Relevance of Course Outcomes *	
Excellent	

- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, * analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Overall rating *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
O Below Average	
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development	
No	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Teacher *

Dr sadhana Kesharwani

Designation *
Professor
Department *
Zoology
Mobile Number *
9424313178

E-mail Address *
sadhanakesharwani.23@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given *

Bio-Science

Following are questions for online teacher satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Employability *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Entrepreneurship *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Skill development *			
0	Excellent		
•	Good		
0	Average		
0	Below Average		

Weightage given to Project * Excellent Good Average Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component *		
0	Excellent	
•	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Depth of the course content *		
0	Excellent	
•	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
O Below Average	

Difficulty level of course content *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Opt	imization of course content *
0	Excellent
()	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
\bigcirc	Below Average

Relevance of Course Outcomes *	
Excellent	

- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, * analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Overall rating *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
Below Average	
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Teacher *

Dr. Rajni Nigam

Designation *
Associate Professor The state of the stat
Department * Botany
Mobile Number * 9826848605



Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given *

Bio-Science

Following are questions for online teacher satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Employability *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Entrepreneurship *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Wei	ghtage given to Skill development *
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Project * Excellent Good Average Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Dep	oth of the course content *
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
O Below Average	

Difficulty level of course content *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Opt	imization of course content *
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Relevance of Course Outcomes *
Excellent

- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, * analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Overall rating *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
Below Average	
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Alumni *

Dr Sadhana Kesharwani

Designation *
Professor
Department * Zoology
Name of the College/University *
Govt MHCollege of Homescience and Science Jabalpur MP


Mobile Number *
9424313178

E-mail Address *

sadhanakesharwani.23@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given

Bio-Science



Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. *		
•	Excellent	
0	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Weightage given to Employability *		
0	Excellent	
	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Weightage given to Entrepreneurship *			
0	Excellent		
	Good		
0	Average		
0	Below Average		

Weightage given to Skill development *			
0	Excellent		
•	Good		
0	Average		
0	Below Average		

Weightage given to Project * Excellent Good Average Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component *		
0	Excellent	
•	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Dep	oth of the course content *
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject *		
Excellent		
Good		
Average		
O Below Average		

Diffi	culty level of course content *
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Opt	imization of course content *
0	Excellent
()	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus *		
•	Excellent	
0	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Relevance of Course Outcomes *	

Excellent

Good

Average

Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, * analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)		
0	Excellent	
•	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Overall rating *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
Below Average	
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development	
More practical	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Alumni *

Dr. Anuradha Dave

Designation *

Professor and HOD

Department *


Department of Botany and microbiology

Name of the College/University *

Government M. H. College of H. Sc. &Sc. for women Jabalpur Jabalpur (M. P.)

Mobile Number * 9589320954 E-mail Address * juhidave36@gmail.com Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given

Bio-Science



Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. *	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Employability *		
Excellent		
Good		
Average		
Delow Average		

Weightage given to Entrepreneurship *	
•	Excellent
\bigcirc	Good
\bigcirc	Average
\bigcirc	Below Average

Weightage given to Skill development *	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Project *	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component *		
•	Excellent	
0	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Depth of the course content *	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject *		
Excellent		
Good		
Average		
Below Average		

Difficulty level of course content *		
0	Excellent	
•	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Optimization of course content *		
•	Excellent	
0	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus *	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Relevance of Course Outcomes *	

Excellent

Good

Average

Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, * analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material *		
•	Excellent	
0	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Overall rating *
Excellent
Good
Average
O Below Average
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development
Nothing lam satisfied

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Alumni *

Shaili jain

Designation *	
Student	
Department *	
Botany	
Name of the College/University *	
Home science college jabalpur (RDVV)	

Mobile Number * 8815823386 E-mail Address * jainsimpi1999@gmail.com Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given

Bio-Science



Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. *		
0	Excellent	
	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Wei	ghtage given to Employability *
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Entrepreneurship *		
•	Excellent	
\bigcirc	Good	
\bigcirc	Average	
0	Below Average	

Weightage given to Skill development *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Project *	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component *	
	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Depth of the course content *	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
Below Average	

Difficulty level of course content *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Opt	imization of course content *
0	Excellent
()	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus *	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Relevance of Course Outcomes *	

Excellent

Good

Average

Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, * analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	
•	Excellent
0	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Overall rating *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
O Below Average	
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2022-2023

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Alumni *

Dr rachna pandey

Designation *
Professor
Department *
Botany
Name of the College/University *
Govt M H college of home science and science for women autonomous jabalpur

Mobile Number * 09425164299 E-mail Address * poornimat2603@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given

Bio-Science

Following are questions for online alumni satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Employability *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Entrepreneurship *	
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Skill development *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Weightage given to Project * Excellent Good Average Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Dep	oth of the course content *
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
O Below Average	

Difficulty level of course content *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Opt	imization of course content *
0	Excellent
	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Relevance of Course Outcomes *
Excellent

- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, * analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)		
0	Excellent	
•	Good	
0	Average	
0	Below Average	

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material *	
0	Excellent
•	Good
0	Average
0	Below Average

Overall rating *	
Excellent	
Good	
Average	
Below Average	
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms